

# Generalizing Gauss's Gem

Ezra Brown and Marc Chamberland

Gauss's Cyclotomic Formula [3, pp.425-428, p.467] is a neglected mathematical wonder.

**Theorem 1.1.** (*Gauss*) *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and set  $p' = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}p$ . Then there exist integer polynomials  $R(x, y)$  and  $S(x, y)$  such that*

$$\frac{4(x^p + y^p)}{x + y} = R(x, y)^2 - p'S(x, y)^2.$$

The goal of this note is to generalize this theorem. Denote a circulant matrix as

$$\text{circ}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_p \\ x_p & x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{p-1} \\ x_{p-1} & x_p & x_1 & \cdots & x_{p-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & \cdots & x_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)$  be the Legendre symbol, that is, for  $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $\left(\frac{j}{p}\right) = 1$  or  $-1$  according as  $j$  is or is not a quadratic residue mod  $p$ . A multivariable generalization of Theorem 1.1 follows. Theorem 1.1 is a special case of Theorem 1.2 with  $x_3 = \cdots = x_p = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $p' = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}p$ . Then there exist integer polynomials  $R(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)$  and  $S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)$  such that*

$$\frac{4 \cdot \det(\text{circ}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p))}{x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_p} = R(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)^2 - p'S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)^2.$$

*Specifically, one can take  $R(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = A + B$  and  $S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = (A - B)/\sqrt{p'}$  where*

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \prod_{\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)=1} (x_1 + \zeta^j x_2 + \zeta^{2j} x_3 + \cdots + \zeta^{(p-1)j} x_p), \\ B &= \prod_{\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)=-1} (x_1 + \zeta^j x_2 + \zeta^{2j} x_3 + \cdots + \zeta^{(p-1)j} x_p), \end{aligned}$$

*and  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $p^{\text{th}}$  root of unity.*

*Proof.* It is well-known [4] that

$$\frac{\det(\text{circ}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p))}{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_p} = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (x_1 + \zeta^j x_2 + \zeta^{2j} x_3 + \dots + \zeta^{(p-1)j} x_p). \quad (1)$$

The choice of  $R$  and  $S$  given above then easily satisfy the desired equation,

$$\begin{aligned} R^2 - p'S^2 &= (A+B)^2 - p' \left( \frac{A-B}{\sqrt{p'}} \right)^2 = 4AB = 4 \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^p x_i \zeta^{j(i-1)} \\ &= \frac{4 \cdot \det(\text{circ}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p))}{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_p}. \end{aligned}$$

The challenge now is to show that both  $R$  and  $S$  are polynomials with integer coefficients.

Let  $p$  be a prime  $> 3$ , let  $p' = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}p$ , let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $p$ th root of unity, and let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  be the cyclotomic field of  $p$ th roots of unity. For any integer  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq p-1$ , define the mapping  $\sigma_k$  on  $K$  by setting  $\sigma_k(\zeta) = \zeta^k$  and extending the map linearly. Then  $K$  is a Galois extension of degree  $p-1$  over the rational field  $\mathbb{Q}$  with cyclic Galois group  $G = \{\sigma_k | 1 \leq k \leq p-1\}$ .  $G$  also acts on  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)[x_1, \dots, x_p]$  by setting  $\sigma_k(x_i) = x_i$  and extending the action linearly; see [2, p.596ff] for details and further information.

Let  $\alpha = \sum_{(r/p)=1} \zeta^r$  and  $\beta = \sum_{(n/p)=-1} \zeta^n$ . A bit of algebra shows that  $\beta = -\alpha - 1$  and  $\alpha\beta = (1-p')/4$ ; thus,  $\alpha = (-1 \pm \sqrt{p'})/2$  and  $\beta = (-1 \mp \sqrt{p'})/2$ , for some choice of signs. The set of mappings  $H = \{\sigma_k | (k/p) = 1\}$  is a subgroup of  $G$  of index 2, whose fixed field is the quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Note that both  $A$  and  $B$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}(\zeta)[x_1, \dots, x_p]$ . We now show that  $A+B \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p]$  and that  $A-B \in \mathbb{Z}(\alpha)[x_1, \dots, x_p]$ .

The product rule for the Legendre symbol states that if  $j$  and  $k$  are relatively prime to  $p$  then

$$\left( \frac{jk}{p} \right) = \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \left( \frac{k}{p} \right).$$

Thus, if  $\left( \frac{k}{p} \right) = 1$ , then replacing  $\zeta$  by  $\zeta^k$  in  $A$  and  $B$  permutes the factors of  $A$  and the factors of  $B$ . Similarly, if  $\left( \frac{k}{p} \right) = -1$ , then replacing  $\zeta$  by  $\zeta^k$  in  $A$  and  $B$  exchanges the factors of  $A$  with the factors of  $B$ . It follows that if  $\left( \frac{k}{p} \right) = 1$ , then the action of  $\sigma_k$  on  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)[x_1, \dots, x_p]$  fixes both  $A$  and  $B$ , while if  $\left( \frac{k}{p} \right) = -1$ , then the action of  $\sigma_k$  on  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)[x_1, \dots, x_p]$  interchanges  $A$  and  $B$ . We conclude that  $\sigma_k(A+B) = A+B$  for all  $k$ , so that  $A+B$  is invariant under the action of every element of the Galois group  $G$ . Thus, the coefficients of  $A+B$  lie in the fixed field of  $G$ , namely the rational field  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and so  $A+B \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_p]$ . But  $A+B \in \mathbb{Z}(\zeta)[x_1, \dots, x_p]$ , so it follows that  $R = A+B$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients.

We now turn to  $S = (A-B)/\sqrt{p'}$ . By previous results, the coefficients of  $A$  and  $B$  are in the field fixed by the index-2 subgroup  $H$  of the Galois group

$G$ , namely  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Since  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}(\zeta)[x_1, \dots, x_p]$ , it follows that both  $A$  and  $B$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}(\alpha)[x_1, \dots, x_p]$ . Hence, there exist polynomials  $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  and  $g = g(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  with integer coefficients such that  $A = f + g\alpha$ .

Let  $n$  be a fixed quadratic nonresidue mod  $p$ . The nontrivial automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  sends  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ . As  $A$  is not fixed by  $\sigma_n$ , we see that  $\sigma_n(\alpha) = \beta$ . Hence,

$$B = \sigma_n(A) = \sigma_n(f + g\alpha) = f + g\beta.$$

It follows that  $A - B = g(\alpha - \beta)$ , where  $g$  has integer coefficients. Then, by previous work and a little more algebra, we see that  $\alpha - \beta = \pm\sqrt{p^l}$ . It follows that

$$S = \frac{A - B}{\sqrt{p^l}} = \frac{\pm g\sqrt{p^l}}{\sqrt{p^l}} = \pm g,$$

a polynomial with integer coefficients. □

In the case when  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , the functions  $R$  and  $S$  given in Theorem 1.2 are not unique. The Pell equation

$$x^2 - py^2 = 1 \tag{2}$$

has infinitely many integer solutions for any prime  $p$  (see [1]). Since

$$(x_1^2 - py_1^2)(x_2^2 - py_2^2) = (x_1x_2 + py_1y_2)^2 - p(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)^2,$$

any solution  $(x, y)$  to equation (2) may be used in conjunction with the solution  $(R, S)$  in Theorem 1.2 to produce another pair of polynomials

$$R' = xR + pyS, \quad S' = xS + yR.$$

which make Theorem 1.2 work. Indeed, infinitely many such  $R$  and  $S$  exist.

The polynomials  $R$  and  $S$  rapidly grow in size. For  $p = 5$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} R = & 2x_1^2 - x_2x_5 - x_5x_3 - x_2x_1 + 2x_2^2 - x_1x_3 - x_5x_4 - x_3x_2 - x_1x_4 \\ & - x_2x_4 + 2x_3^2 + 2x_5^2 - x_1x_5 - x_4x_3 + 2x_4^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S = -x_2x_4 - x_1x_4 + x_4x_3 + x_5x_4 - x_5x_3 + x_3x_2 + x_1x_5 - x_1x_3 + x_2x_1 - x_2x_5.$$

For  $p = 7$ ,  $R$  has 84 terms and  $S$  has 56 terms.

A simple application of Theorem 1.2 involves a determinant considered by Wendt in conjunction with Fermat's Last Theorem. The so-called Wendt determinant is defined by

$$W_n = \det \left( \text{circ} \left( \binom{n}{0}, \binom{n}{1}, \binom{n}{2}, \dots, \binom{n}{n-1} \right) \right).$$

E. Lehmer claimed (later proved by J.S. Frame [5, p.128]) that

$$W_n = (-1)^{n-1}(2^n - 1)u^2$$

for some  $u \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} = 2^n - 1,$$

if  $n$  is an odd prime  $p$ , Theorem 1.2 implies

$$(2u)^2 = R^2 - p'S^2$$

for some integers  $u$ ,  $R$ , and  $S$ . This equation clearly has a trivial solution if  $S = 0$ . This situation occurs when  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  since

$$B = \prod_{\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)=-1} ((1 + \zeta^j)^p - 1) = \prod_{\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)=1} ((1 + \zeta^{-j})^p - 1) = \prod_{\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)=1} ((1 + \zeta^j)^p - 1) = A.$$

The first few cases where  $S \neq 0$  are

$$\begin{aligned} 22^2 &= 147^2 - 5 \cdot 65^2, \\ 15431414598^2 &= 20522387091091^2 - 13 \cdot 5691884464123^2, \\ 1062723692434942886^2 &= 8954437067502153571460714^2 - 17 \cdot 2171769991015128035203320^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 8718939572496293125591819055341224866706702550645275302^2 &= \\ 8801866915656397716021519532258687362772409962179980790374047406788427^2 &= \\ -29 \cdot 1634465653492219202324217583600006782459921190308836446038375668451525^2. & \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] E. Barbeau, *Pell's Equation*, Springer, New York, 2003.
- [2] D.S. Dummit and R.M. Foote, *Abstract Algebra*, 3rd ed, John Wiley, Hoboken, 2004.
- [3] C.F. Gauss, *Untersuchungen über höhere Arithmetik*, Chelsea, New York, 1965.
- [4] G. Golub and C. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, 3rd ed, John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 1996.
- [5] P. Ribenboim, *Fermat's Last Theorem For Amateurs*, Springer, New York, 1999.

*Department of Mathematics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061,*  
 ezbrown@math.vt.edu

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Grinnell College, Grinnell, IA 50112,*  
 chamberl@math.grinnell.edu